

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/600,634	06/20/2003	Kevin Gunderson	01-00003	7176	
29389	7590 02/14/2006		EXAMINER		
ILLUMINA, INC. 9885 TOWNE CENTRE DRIVE			BERTAGNA, ANGELA MARIE		
	, CA 92121-1975		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637		
			DATE MAILED: 02/14/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/600,63	34	GUNDERSON E	T AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Angela Be		1637				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nasions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community of period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF TH 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even nication. Itory period will apply and wi III, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNION, the control of the co	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .						
	•	·	 s action is non-final.					
3)□								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)	4) Claim(s) 1-77 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	S) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
'—	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-77</u> are subject to restriction	n and/or election rec	quirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to be	by the Examiner. No	ote the attache	d Office Action or form F	710-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International	f the priority docume al Bureau (PCT Rul	ents have been e 17.2(a)).	received in this Nationa	al Stage			
* (See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certi	fied copies not	received.				
Attachmer	ot(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date			Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/600,634 Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-15, 17-34, 36-51, 53, 54, and 64-72, drawn to methods of detecting type-able loci, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
- II. Claims 16, 35 and 52, drawn to reports, classified in class 106, subclass619.
- III. Claims 55-63, drawn to a method of amplifying genomic DNA, classified in class 435, subclass 91.2.
- IV. Claims 73-77, drawn to a method of producing a reduced complexity, locus-specific amplified sample, classified in class 435, subclass 91.2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I, III and IV are unrelated to Invention II. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of function, different operations and different effects. The reports of Group II are pieces of paper or a computer-generated spreadsheet that function to tabulate information, whereas the methods of Groups I, III and IV function to detect type-able loci, amplify genomic DNA and produce a reduced complexity sample, respectively.
- 3. Inventions I, III and IV are unrelated methods. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different

Application/Control Number: 10/600,634

Art Unit: 1637

modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the methods of Group I function to detect typable loci by hybridization of specific probes, whereas the methods of Group III function to produce an amplified genomic DNA sample, and the methods of Group IV function to produce a reduced complexity sample. These three methods employ different steps to achieve these different results, making restriction between them proper.

Page 3

- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Groups I-IV is not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. A search for the report of Group II would only require search terms directed to the physical form of the report itself, and would not include terms directed to the methods of producing the report (Groups I, III and IV). Also, a search for the methods of Groups I, III and IV is not coextensive, because these methods contain different steps. Specifically, a search for the method of Group III would not require terms directed to type-able loci (required for Group I) or terms directed to reduced complexity (required for Group IV).
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance,

Art Unit: 1637

whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy. Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Bertagna whose telephone number is (571) 272-8291. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5 pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,634 Page 5

Art Unit: 1637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela Bertagna Patent Examiner Art Unit 1637 amb

JEFFREY FREDMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

W/26